Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/797,826	HUYNH, DIEU DAI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BENJAMIN J. GILLESPIE	1796	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 26 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the san application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 periods:	(1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Y CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee distatutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	
2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>26 October 2009</u> . A brief in co	mpliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be filed within two months of	
the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior	to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further considerat	ion and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspo	onding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).	if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will in how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 7-10,12 and 13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was	e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a	
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the	status of the claims after entry is below or attached.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does N	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
See attached note.		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SI13. ☐ Other:	B/08) Paper No(s)	
Mary lawayathan		
Nasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796	/Benjamin J Gillespie/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796	



Application No.